

RECEIVED

APR 02 2014

in the Chambers of
Judge
Nicholas G. Garaufis

William Brown pro se

70876053

Federal Prison Camp
PO Box 2000

Lewisburg PA 17837

Honorable Nicholas G Garaufis
United States District Court
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn New York 11201

RE William Brown v United States
Civil Docket No 11-4235 (NGG)
Relatey Case 1:04-cr-00159-NGG-7

Your Honor,

As a citizen, one is not prepared for the workings of the judicial system. One is TRAINED to believe that the system is AN EXERCISE IN EVER HENDED DISCOVERY OF THE FACTS, thus LEADING to the truth, so, as I have experienced the system, AND BEEN VICTIMIZED BY IT, allow me to STATE what has happened to me and my family.

Beginning AT MY BAIL hearing, when PreTrial Services recommended I BE RELEASSED ON MY OWN RECOGNIZANCE, DUE to my STANDING in the community AND THE LACK OF ANY CRIMINAL INVOLVEMENT over the course of my then sixty five years, the prosecutor REJECTS this recommendation AND ASKS FOR A BAIL OF TWO MILLION DOLLARS. He bases his request on the supposition that "BECAUSE THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE AGAINST ME, IT PROVES I WANTS A MURDER CRIME!"

Firstly, if the prosecutor has no evidence against me, what was presented to the grand jury in order to indict me? To underlie this presumption of guilt the FBI Agent assigned to this case testified in open court that "After some four hundred hours of personal surveillance and traps on my business and personal phones he found no evidence of wrong doing on my part." I do not now know the basis of my conviction or the efficacy of such a DNAconviction Sentence.

After my trial and upon appeal, my appeal attorney Mr. Norman White alluded to the cost of my prosecution as the key reason for this harshness. At the time I hoped that was not so. Now, I am not so sure.

At my first and only meeting with the prosecutor I was questioned about two corporations: FLORADINOS and OMAGINE. In this light in order for a FRAUD to have been perpetrated one must be an issuer or selling Agent. During the prosecutor's investigation he learned that all expenditures are legal if so stated in the prospectus. In the case of OMAGINE, the legal team who wrote the prospectus divulged all fees. Upon learning this, OMAGINE was dropped from the case. Concomitantly, the lawyer's representation FLORADINOS did not record these fees in its prospectus. The office of the prosecution made that distinction. In dropping OMAGINE the prosecutor shows his knowledge of who and who the prospectus may who is liable. I had no hand

in creating the prospectus or, for that matter, action in no way is Legally representing that or any other corporation.

As to the role of Selling Agent, I did not act as a principal at either Russo Securities or at Delta Asset Management. All orders executed by a brokerage must be examined and signed off by a principal of the Brokerage. As stated previously, I held no position at Delta at all; not as a broker, principal or agent of any kind.

The sole connecting document that claims to connect me to the firm was an NASD Form FAXED to the Branch of Delta with instructions to the Secretary to sign my NAME. This information was given to the prosecutor only with knowledge that I never saw such a document, was submitted as evidence against me anyway, if I were a principal of Delta, or if I had any status at all, one would think that the President of Delta, Mr. Barry Swartz would have testified to that fact, as he was in fact a cooperative witness. Yet the prosecutor went with a BOOMS FORM, a form he was aware was FALSE, rather than call the person who, if true, could attest to its authenticity. My role in the marketing of these two corporations was due diligence; see the potential of and management of the offering corporation.

The office of the prosecution gets the full cooperation of both the SEC and the NASD. Any simple phone call would verify any claim I make. Therefore, they knew I was not a principal or exercised any control at all at either Russo or Delta. Without the DRAWS, this was no more than a sales practice violation. What motive could the prosecutor have for blowing this into a massive securities fraud and demonizing me in the bargain? I can only harken back to the elderly gentleman who appeared as a witness for the prosecution. When he was asked if he felt cheated by losing his investment, he replied in this manner. "I am no fool. Before I invested I drove from Georgia to Florida for an on sight inspection. Satisfied, I made an investment."

In an attempt to demonize before the jury, the prosecutor stated that I had my license revoked by the NASD. Thrown out of the securities business! He surely knew this was positively untrue. During the Dot. Com Bust of 2002 I had, like most brokers, MANY complaints regarding sales practices. I defended myself in each case and was cleared of any sales based on fraudulent sales practices. After some eleven hearings all over the country, I was tried of the grid. When the SEC called and wanted me to appear at a hearing by

them, I refused and filed against them for harassment. The hearing officer concurred. The SEC, a Mr. Bruce, called and suggested that since I was retired anyway, would I give up my license. I voluntarily did so. The prosecutor knew this also, but ~~had~~ gave his disclosure as if I were the enemy of the Commission.

Now, some ten years later, and spent incarcerated I am seventy five and nearly of little or no consequence. What has been done to my family is irreparable. My dignity and reputation has been dealt an appalling blow. My children are the offspring of a convicted felon. We are all damaged, but not beyond repair. Caught in the life problem of an overzealous prosecutor and an inapt attorney, I, we, are the victim of the perfect storm.

Can anything be done to protect us, the just regular people from a system in which you are indicted without representation and tried in a court system with a ninety percent conviction rate? I cannot even attempt an answer. I have just lived through all this.

Sincerely

William Bruce

Inmate Name: William Browne
Register Number: 10416053

4 Page Federal Prison Camp
P.O. Box 2000
Lewisburg, PA 17837

2000
JRG, PA 17837
HARVARD Nickolas G GARDNER
FILED
INCLERKS OFFICE [Eastern] District of New York
US DISTRICT COURT, N.Y.
APR 02 2014 2 25 PM '14 CLERK'S OFFICE
BROOKLYN OFFICE
BROOKLYN, NY

